

Ohio Medicare-Medicaid Plan Quality Withhold Analysis Results

Demonstration Year 5 (Calendar Year 2019)

The Medicare-Medicaid Financial Alignment Initiative (FAI) seeks to better serve people who are dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid by testing person-centered, integrated care models. In order to ensure that dually eligible individuals receive high quality care and to encourage quality improvement, both Medicare and Medicaid withheld a percentage of their respective components of the capitation rate paid to each Medicare-Medicaid Plan (MMP) participating in a capitated model demonstration under the FAI. MMPs are eligible for repayment of the withheld amounts subject to their performance on a set of quality withhold measures. For each measure, MMPs earn a “met” or “not met” designation depending on their achieved rate relative to the benchmark level, or where applicable, the gap closure target.¹ For each measure, MMPs earn a “met” or “not met” designation depending on their achieved rate relative to the benchmark level, or where applicable, the gap closure target.² Due to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) public health emergency (PHE), MMPs were not required to report Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) measures for CY 2019. To account for this change, all MMPs automatically received a “met” designation for these measures in the quality withhold analysis.³ Based on the percent of measures with a “met” designation, including reportable measures that earned a “met” designation based on the MMP’s performance and unreportable measures with an automatic “met” designation, MMPs receive a quality withhold payment according to the following tiered scale:

Percent of Measures Met	Percent of Withhold MMP Receives
0-19%	0%
20-39%	25%
40-59%	50%
60-79%	75%
80-100%	100%

This report provides the results of the quality withhold analysis for MMPs in the MyCare Ohio demonstration for Demonstration Year (DY) 5, which covers Calendar Year 2019. Typically, MMPs are evaluated on a combination of CMS Core and State-Specific quality withhold measures; however, the Ohio State-Specific measures were temporarily suspended as of DY 2.⁴ As a result, Ohio MMPs were evaluated on the CMS Core quality withhold measures only. On the following page, Table 1 provides results for each CMS Core quality withhold measure and Table 2 provides summary results for the quality withhold analysis. In Table 1, measures that also utilize the gap closure target methodology are marked with an asterisk (as noted above, for these measures, MMPs can earn a “met” designation by meeting the benchmark or the gap closure target). HEDIS measures that received an

¹ For certain measures, an MMP can also earn a “met” designation if the MMP closes the gap between its performance in the prior calendar year and the benchmark by a stipulated improvement percentage (typically 10%).

² For certain measures, an MMP can also earn a “met” designation if the MMP closes the gap between its performance in the prior calendar year and the benchmark by a stipulated improvement percentage (typically 10%). The gap closure target methodology applies to most CMS Core measures. For State-Specific measures, states have the discretion to determine whether the gap closure target methodology applies.

³ For more information on the COVID-19 PHE impacts on the quality withhold, please see the July 29, 2020 HPMS memo, “Quality Withhold Updates for Medicare-Medicaid Plans,” available on the [MMP Quality Withhold Methodology & Technical Notes](#) webpage.

⁴ CMS Core measures apply consistently across all capitated model demonstrations, unless a certain measure is inapplicable due to differences in demonstration design or timing/enrollment constraints. State-Specific measures apply to a specific capitated model demonstration. However, as noted above, the Ohio State-Specific measures were suspended as of DY 2. The suspension was temporary while new measures were under consideration.

automatic “met” designation are marked with a plus sign. Additionally, any measures that were not applicable for an MMP due to low enrollment or inability to meet other reporting criteria are listed as “N/A.”

For more information about the quality withhold methodology, measures, and benchmarks, refer to the Medicare-Medicaid Capitated Financial Alignment Model CMS Core Quality Withhold Technical Notes for DY 2 through 8 and the Ohio Quality Withhold Technical Notes for DY 2 through 8. These documents are available on the [MMP Quality Withhold Methodology & Technical Notes](#) webpage.

Table 1: CMS Core Measure Results

Medicare-Medicaid Plan	CW6 – Plan All-Cause Readmissions ⁺	CW7 – Annual Flu Vaccine*	CW8 – Follow-Up After Hospitalization for Mental Illness**	CW12 – Medication Adherence for Diabetes Medications*	CW13 – Encounter Data
	Benchmark: 1.00	Benchmark: 69%	Benchmark: 56%	Benchmark: 73%	Benchmark: 80%
Aetna Better Health, Inc.	Met	Not Met	Met	Met	Met
Buckeye Community Health Plan, Inc.	Met	Not Met	Met	Met	Met
CareSource	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
Molina Healthcare of Ohio, Inc.	Met	Not Met	Met	Met	Met
United Healthcare Community Plan of Ohio, Inc.	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met

Table 2: Quality Withhold Analysis Summary Results

Medicare-Medicaid Plan	# of Measures in Analysis			# of Measures Met			% of Measures Met			% of Withhold Received
	Core	State	Total	Core	State	Total	Core	State	Total	
Aetna Better Health, Inc.	5	N/A	5	4	N/A	4	80%	N/A	80%	100%
Buckeye Community Health Plan, Inc.	5	N/A	5	4	N/A	4	80%	N/A	80%	100%
CareSource	5	N/A	5	5	N/A	5	100%	N/A	100%	100%
Molina Healthcare of Ohio, Inc.	5	N/A	5	4	N/A	4	80%	N/A	80%	100%
United Healthcare Community Plan of Ohio, Inc.	5	N/A	5	5	N/A	5	100%	N/A	100%	100%
Ohio Averages	5	N/A	5	4	N/A	4	88%	N/A	88%	100%

* Indicates measures that also utilize the gap closure target methodology. ⁺ Indicates measures received automatic “Met.” See page 1 for more information.